



## A.I.R. REPORT

### I. SUBMISSION INFORMATION

Name: [REDACTED]

D.O.B.: [REDACTED]

Submission Genre:  Academic Writing  Creative Writing

Submission Title: Bi[REDACTED]ry

Submission Word Count: 1,168

Citation Style: APA

---

### II. A.I.R. CHECK RESULTS

Writing Proficiency:  A+  A  B  C  D  E  F

Academic Integrity: We SUPPORT / DECLINE the originality of the submission(s).

---



### III. WRITING PROFICIENCY

#### Topic - 4.0/5.0

The article presents a clear and focused topic, discussing [REDACTED]. It is original, independently developed, and centers around a well-constructed thesis. The topic is relevant, culturally sensitive, and avoids inappropriate subject matter. It is interesting and worth noticing, although it could have delved deeper into the cultural and global implications.

#### Content - 4.0/5.0

The content is fact-checked, with references to credible sources such as the National Institute of Standards and Technology and CNN. The writer shows an insightful understanding of the subject matter, particularly the technical aspects of bioconcrete and its environmental benefits.

#### Structure - 4.0/5.0

The article is well-structured, with a logical flow that is easy to follow. Each section serves its function, from introducing the problem to discussing the solution and its implications. Transitions between sections are mostly smooth, but there are instances where they could be more seamless to enhance overall coherence.

#### Argument - 4.0/5.0

The article provides a thorough explanation and elaboration of evidence, particularly in discussing the properties and benefits of [REDACTED].

#### Grammar - 5.0/5.0

The writing is clear, with few or no grammar mistakes. The expression is suitable for the topic, and the writing is easy to understand, reflecting a high level of grammatical proficiency.

#### Tone - 4.0/5.0

The article maintains a suitable tone for its intended audience, likely those interested in construction, sustainability, and technology. It demonstrates cultural sensitivity, particularly when discussing the [REDACTED] incident.

#### Language - 4.0/5.0



The language is engaging and the delivery is effective, reflecting a good mastery of language. The writer uses technical terms appropriately and explains concepts clearly.

**Research - 4.0/5.0**

The article demonstrates above-average research ability, with all factual claims supported by evidence and sources.

**Raw Score: 33**

**Percentage: 82.5%**

**Rank: B**

---



#### IV. INTEGRITY CHECK

**Supplementary Materials**

(Documents that demonstrate the working process, including but not limited to research notes, outlines, drafts, etc.)

**A.I.R. Check**

(A proctored online session that verifies the working process, including but not limited to the writer's motivation, research process, logical flow, use of evidence, etc.)

#### Conclusion

Based on the presented evidence and conducted interview, we verified the writer's motivation, research process, structure composition, and writing process.

Based on the validated writing process, we support/decline the originality of the submission(s).

Endorsed by A.I.R. Board

January 15, 2024



## **APPENDIX A - A.I.R. STATEMENT**

Academic Integrity Report (A.I.R.) declares that it is a financially and managerially self-sustained organization, independent of any writing contest, educational institution, or other entities with a conflict of interest.

A.I.R. will ensure that their independence remains forefront at all times.

A.I.R. will not contribute solutions, suggestions for improvement, or guidance on writing.

Academic Integrity Report reserves the final interpretation and right to modification.



## APPENDIX B - RAW SCORE TO RANKING CONVERSION

When assessing writing proficiency, all the submissions will be scored at first, a percentage will be calculated, and a ranking will be given accordingly.

If several writings are submitted, a weighted percentage will be calculated, and the word count will be taken into consideration.

PERCENTAGE (%)	RANKING	WRITING PROFICIENCY
100	A+	Near-to-perfect
90-99.9	A	Excellent
80-89.9	B	Good
70-79.9	C	Fair
60-69.9	D	Average
50-59.9	E	Below average
<50	F	Poor

Table 1. Percentage-ranking conversion and their relative writing proficiency level



## APPENDIX C - INTEGRITY CHECK PROCESS

To check the originality of works, we require submissions to be accompanied by documents that demonstrate their working process, including but not limited to research notes, outlines, drafts, etc.

A written verification will be conducted to check the submissions' originality further. The A.I.R. Check process will provide 6-8 questions regarding the submissions and writing process. Applicants will be given up to three minutes for each question. Each response will be evaluated based on the original submission. The whole process will be recorded.

If any party requires access to the evidence chain we use in the integrity check process, please get in touch with us via [contact@academicintegrityreport.com](mailto:contact@academicintegrityreport.com).